

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/483,745	01/17/2000	Loredana Abramo	Abramo-1	8778
75	90 12/28/2004	EXAMINER		
·	E, FAGAN, MINNICH	HUYNH, CONG LAC T		
1100 SUPERIOR AVENUE SEVENTH FLOOR CLEVELAND, OH 44114			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2178	

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$
	Application N .	Applicant(s)
	09/483,745	ABRAMO, LOREDANA
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit
	Cong-Lac Huynh	2178
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication a Period for Reply	appears n th cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state that the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3) od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed O) days will be considered timely. From the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	September 2004.	
·— · ·—	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	vance except for formal matters	·
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 and 15-22 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 and 15-22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appl riority documents have been rec eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		lail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/483,745 Page 2

Art Unit: 2178

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: RCE filed 9/21/04 to the application filed on 1/17/00.

- 2. Claims 15-22 are added.
- 3. Claims 13-14, which have not been entered in the previous amendment after the final rejection filed on June 22, 2004, were canceled by Applicant's representative, Alan Brandt, during the phone interview on 12/02/04.
- 4. Claims 1-12, 15-22 are pending in the case. Claims 1, 7, 15, and 19 are independent claims.
- 5. The rejections of claims 1-12 under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Brown in view of Probert have been withdrawn in view of the amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-12, 15-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over in Bellamy, Jr. et al. (US Pat No. 6,259,907 B1, 7/10/01, filed 11/30/99) view of Probert, Jr. et al. (US Pat No. 6,549,918 B1, 4/15/03, filed 9/21/98).

Regarding independent claim 1, Bellamy discloses:

- receiving raw switch data from a digital switching system, the raw switch data is stored by the digital switching system in a switch database (figure 1, col 5, line 59

to col 6, line 4)

storing the raw cellular information in the switch database in the forms of tables

(col 6, lines 6-23)

Bellamy does not disclose:

- converting said raw data into a format compatible with a predefined spreadsheet

program

outputting converted data to and storing said converted data in at least one

predefined workbook of said spreadsheet program

Probert discloses:

- converting said raw data into a format compatible with a predefined spreadsheet

program (figure 2 and col 8, lines 17-59: the network system includes the

dynamic conversion filter driver to convert data from one format to another,

including providing data in spreadsheet format where the data to be converted is

from the server)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention

was made to have combined Probert into Bellamy since Probert discloses the benefit of

converting data in one format to the spreadsheet format applied in the network system,

providing the advantage to incorporate into Bellamy for converting the raw data stored

in the switch database the table format in Bellamy into the spreadsheet format.

Bellamy and Probert do not disclose outputting converted data to and storing said converted data in at least one predefined workbook of said spreadsheet program. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have modified Bellamy and Probert to include said outputting and storing features since it was well known in the art that once the data is converted into a format such as spreadsheet, the data is displayed at the client, which is a form of outputting data, and the data is stored in the memory for later use. The combination of the outputting and storing features to Bellamy and Probert would help providing and checking data when needed and keeping the received data for later use.

Regarding claim 2, which is dependent on claim 1, Bellamy discloses:

- prior to said receiving, converting and outputting steps, installing said digital switch (figure 1: the cellular switch download database in the service control point implies that the digital switch is installed before receiving, converting and outputting steps since the digital switch must be installed so that there is switch data to be stored in the switch database)
- performing said receiving, converting and outputting steps as part of a New
 Product Introduction test (col 1, lines 27-49)

Regarding claim 3, which is dependent on claim 1, Bellamy discloses:

prior to said receiving, converting and outputting steps, installing said digital
 switch (figure 1: the cellular switch download database in the service control

Application/Control Number: 09/483,745

data to be stored in the switch database)

Art Unit: 2178

point implies that the digital switch is installed before receiving, converting and outputting steps since the digital switch must be installed so that there is switch

Page 5

- performing said receiving, converting and outputting steps as part of a Customer Acceptance test (col 1, lines 50-58)

Regarding claim 4, which is dependent on claim 1, Bellamy discloses:

- using the output of said converter as a layout, preparing scripts containing

 Database Modification Commands (col 5, line 59 to col 6, line 23 and col 3, lines

 1-10: providing scripts for modifying the retrieved switch data in the switch database into a standardized format)
- transferring said scripts to said digital switch (col 5, lines 59-67: the fact that the scripts are executed and cause data from the cellular switches to be periodically downloaded to the cellular switch download database implies that the scripts are transferred to the digital switch to be executed)
- via said digital switch, executing said scripts to modify a switch database
 associated the raw switch data (col 5, lines 59-67)

Claims 5-6 include the same limitations as in claim 4, and are rejected under the same rationale.

Independent claim 7 is for a system of claim 12, and is rejected under the same rationale.

Regarding claim 8, which is dependent on claim 7, Bellamy and Probert do not explicitly disclose the operation of said data receiver, data converter and data output device are adapted to be triggered via a user's "Make Workbook" command. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have modified Probert to include the user's Make Workbook command since it was well known that spreadsheet has the command such as AutoFormat for formatting a workbook, which is a form of the Make Workbook command.

Regarding claim 9, which is dependent on claim 1, Bellamy discloses that the switch data includes testing data, which is one of hardware change data, software change data, switching activity data, *testing data*, troubleshooting data, and new product installation data (**col 3, lines 37-52**: since the retrieved data from the cellular telephone switches is used for analyzing, preventing, and detecting fraud or unauthorized use associated with cellular telephone calls, such data must include testing data).

Regarding claim 10, which is dependent on claim 1, Bellamy further discloses that the raw switch data includes recent change and verify data (**col 3, lines 37-52**: detecting fraud and unauthorized use associated with cellular telephone calls via the switch data implies that the switch data includes recent change and verify data).

Claims 11-12, which are dependent on claim 7, include the same limitations as in claims 9-10, and are rejected under the same rationale.

Regarding independent claim 15, Bellamy discloses:

- receiving raw switch data from a digital switch (figure 1, col 5, line 59 to col 6, line 4)

Bellamy does not disclose:

- converting said raw data into a format compatible with a predefined spreadsheet
 program
- outputting converted data to and storing said converted data in at least one
 predefined workbook of said spreadsheet program

Probert discloses:

- converting said raw data into a format compatible with a predefined spreadsheet program (figure 2 and col 8, lines 17-59: the network system includes the dynamic conversion filter driver to *convert data from one format to another*, including providing data *in spreadsheet format* where the data to be converted is from the server)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have combined Probert into Bellamy since Probert discloses the benefit of converting data in one format to the spreadsheet format applied in the network system, providing the advantage to incorporate into Bellamy for converting the raw data stored in the switch database the table format in Bellamy into the spreadsheet format.

Bellamy and Probert do not disclose outputting converted data to and storing said

converted data in at least one predefined workbook of said spreadsheet program. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have modified Bellamy and Probert to include said outputting and storing features since it was well known in the art that once the data is converted into a format such as spreadsheet, the data is displayed at the client, which is a form of outputting data, and the data is stored in the memory for later use. The combination of the outputting and storing features to Bellamy and Probert would help providing and checking data when needed and keeping the received data for later use.

Regarding claim 16, which is dependent on claim 15, Bellamy discloses:

- using the output of said converter as a layout, preparing scripts containing
 Database Modification Commands (col 5, line 59 to col 6, line 23 and col 3, lines
 1-10: providing scripts for modifying the retrieved switch data in the switch database into a standardized format)
- transferring said scripts to said digital switch (col 5, lines 59-67: the fact that the scripts are executed and cause data from the cellular switches to be periodically downloaded to the cellular switch download database implies that the scripts are transferred to the digital switch to be executed)
- via said digital switch, executing said scripts to modify a switch database
 associated the raw switch data (col 5, lines 59-67)

Regarding claim 17, which is dependent on claim 15, Bellamy discloses that the switch data includes testing data, which is one of hardware change data, software change

installation data (col 3, lines 37-52: since the retrieved data from the cellular telephone

data, switching activity data, testing data, troubleshooting data, and new product

switches is used for analyzing, preventing, and detecting fraud or unauthorized use

associated with cellular telephone calls, such data must include testing data).

Regarding claim 18, which is dependent on claim 15, Bellamy further discloses that the raw switch data includes recent change and verify data (col 3, lines 37-52: detecting fraud and unauthorized use associated with cellular telephone calls via the switch data

implies that the switch data includes recent change and verify data).

Claims 19, 21-22 are for an apparatus of method claims 15-18, and are rejected under

the same rationale.

Claim 20 includes the same limitation of apparatus claim 8, and is rejected under the

same rationale.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12 have been considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicants argue that Brown does not disclose receiving raw switch data from a digital

switching system (Remarks, page 7).

Examiner agrees. Brown has been withdrawn from the rejections.

Bellamy discloses the argued feature (see the claim rejections above).

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Tasaki et al. (US Pat No. 6,785,268 B1, 8/31/04, filed 12/23/99).

Lee (US Pat No. 5,940,473, 8/17/99, filed 3/20/98).

Porter (US Pat No. 6,801,617 B1, 10/5/04, filed 9/16/99).

Kennelly et al. (US Pat No. 6,754,702 B1, 6/22/04, filed 10/2/98).

O' Neill, Jr. (US Pat No. 3,860,761, 1/14/75).

Stuparits et al. (US Pat No. 4,558,188, 12/10/85).

Beffel et al. (US Pat No. 5,187,733, 2/16/93).

Daniels et al. (US Pat No. 4,552,997, 11/12/85).

Dougherty et al. (US Pat No. 6,134,314, 10/17/00, filed 12/11/98).

Braun et al. (US Pat No. 6,501,953 B1, 12/31/02, filed 10/07/99).

Eastep et al. (US Pat No. 6,731,625 B1, 5/4/04, filed 2/10/97).

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cong-Lac Huynh whose telephone number is 571-272-4125. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (8:30-6:00).

Application/Control Number: 09/483,745 Page 11

Art Unit: 2178

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Hong can be reached on 571-272-4124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-4125.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Conglachigh Cong-Lac Huynh

Examiner Art Unit 2178

12/17/04